

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/629,657	Applicant(s) DRAGOVICH ET AL.	
	Examiner Brian J. Davis	Art Unit 1621	

All Participants:

(1) Brian J. Davis.

(2) Dominick Gratale.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 8 April 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

BRIAN DAVIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A message was left with Dominick Gratale on 4/8/05 that a Notice of Non-Responsive Amendment would be forthcoming from the Office. The Examiner notes for completeness of the record that repeated calls have been made to applicant's representatives in order to try and clarify the elected species: Mr. Mandra (2/10/05 and 2/23/05) and Mr. Gratale (2/24/05, 4/4/05 and 4/8/05) .